

D 1.5.2 "ApMM for the model of services" Peer Review format

Thanking you for agreeing to referee the "ApMM for the model of services," developed as part of the FRea Project, we kindly ask you to fill out the following questionnaire and send it to the contacts indicated (peopleinfocusalbania@gmail.com) and remain available for any further information.

Double-blind peer review

We inform you that your evaluation will be communicated to interested stakeholders in a totally anonymous form. This model will also be evaluated by other anonymous referees, who will not be aware of your refereeing. In the event that referees express markedly conflicting opinions, the working group reserves the right to have additional referees evaluate the model.

The content of the referees will remain confidential. Working group members, by agreeing to be evaluated, agree not to disclose the peer reviews. Referees agree not to disclose the content of the model during the refereeing process.

Guidelines

The purpose of peer review is to ensure scientificity to the model submitted to the process and possibly provide some guidance to improve its quality. Therefore, we invite the reviewer to prepare the referencing with the following guidelines in mind:

- what modifications could make the model clearer, more consistent, and more relevant to the question addressed?
- by what expedients could the model make a more significant contribution to cross-border cooperation processes?
- how could steps be taken to strengthen any controversial or questionable annexes or passages?



Questionnaire to be filled

Date of the analysis: 09/04/2025

Model under examination: ApMM for the model of services - FRea

Background

- Had you previously viewed a similar model?

YES NO

- Did you recognize the authors/partners of the model?

- Is the object of the model of management interest?

- Are the literature references relevant and sufficient?

YES NO

- If yes, do you have academic relationships with the partners?

YES NO

Organization of the text		
- Does the length meet usual canons of readability?	YES	NO
- Is the text of deliverables purposely divided into paragraphs?	YES	NO
- Is the language appropriate for a cross-border cooperation model?	YES	NO
- Are the theme and objectives explicit from the outset?	YES	NO
- Is the argumentation and exposition of the arguments clear?	YES	NO
Any suggestions for the working group:		
n/a		
Contents of the text		

YES

YES

NO

NO



FRea

- Is the research conducted on an adequate documentary basis?	YES	NO
- Do the methodological skills appear suitable?	YES	NO
- Can the interpretation be considered balanced?	YES	NO
Any suggestions for the working group:		
n/a		
Overall evaluation		
The model can be accepted in the context of cross-border cooperation	on process	es?
[1] Yes, without revisions.		
[2] Yes, with <i>few</i> revisions.		
[3] Yes, with <i>a lot</i> of revisions.		
[4] No.		
In case [1], would you like to add comments and/or suggestions for the	-	
n/a		
In case [2], please indicate in detail the interventions to be made.		
In case [3], please specify the unavoidable interventions to be m	nade by th	e working
group and indicate further appropriate corrections.		



FRea

In case [4], please give reasons for your assessment.	